



RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION : BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER PETITION FOR VARIANCES OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Beginning 781' S of Cromwell Bridge Rd., 860' NE of Gleneagles Ct., 9th District TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY PROPERTIES : Case No. 84-177-XA Petitioner :::::: ORDER TO ENTER APPEARANCE Mr. Commissioner: Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 524.1 of the Baltimore County Charte, I hereby enter my appearance in this proceeding. You are requested to notify me of any hearing date or dates which may be now or hereafter designated therefor, and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order in connection therewith. John W. Hessian, III Peter Max Zimmerman People's Counsel for Baltimore County Deputy People's Counsel Rm. 223, Court House Towson, MD 21204 494-2188 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 30th day of December, 1983, a copy of the foregoing Order was mailed to Keith E. Ronald, Esquire, 409 Washington Ave., Suite 314, Towson, MD 21204, Attorney for Petitioner.

John W. Hesseau, I John W. Hessian, III

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PETITION AND SITE PLAN

BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Keith E. Ronald, Esquire COUNTY OFFICE BLDG. 111 W. Chesapeake Ave. 409 Washing Towson, Maryland 21204 Suite 314

MEMBERS

Department of

Health Department

Project Planning

Building Department

Board of Education

Industrial

Zoning Administration

Bureau of

409 Washington Avenue

Towson, Maryland 21204 RE: Case No. 84-177-XA (Item No. 87) Nicholas B. Commoda

Properties Special Exception & Variance Petition

Dear Mr. Ronald: The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans

January 10, 1984

submitted with the above referenced petition. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plan or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. The Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the suitability of the requested zoning.

In view of your client's proposal to construct a wireless trans-mitting and receiving structure to the rear of the existing Luskins building, located on Cromwell Bridge Road, this combination hearing is

For further information on the comments from the Department of Permits and Licenses, you may contact Mr. Ted Burnham at 494-3987.

Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the Committee at this time that offer or request information on your petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

> Very truly yours, Mcholas B. Commallin Juch NICHOLAS B. COMMODARI Chairman Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

Petitioner - Twenty-First Century

MEC: inch Enclosures

cc: Weller & Assoc. 101 North Center Street Westminster, Maryland

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS DEPARIMENT OF PUBLIC TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 HARRY J. PISTEL, P. E. DIRECTOR

November 8, 1983

EVALUATION COMMENTS

Mr. Arnold Jablon Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Item #87 (1983-1984) Property Owner: Twenty-First Century Properties S/W corner Loch Raven Blvd. & I-695 (rear parking lot - Luskin's) Acres: 11,386 sq. ft. District: 9th

Dear Mr. Jablon:

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject

General:

Baltimore County highway and utility improvements are not directly involved, as stated in conjunction with the Zoning Advisory Committee review for Item 234

Development of this property through stripping, grading and stabilization could result in a sediment pollution problem, damaging private and public holdings downstream of the property. A grading permit is, therefore, necessary for all grading, including the stripping of top soil.

The Petitioner must provide necessary drainage facilities (temporary or permanent) to prevent creating any nuisances or damages to adjacent properties, especially by the concentration of surface waters. Correction of any problem which may result, due to improper grading or improper installation of drainage facilities, would be the full responsibility of the Petitioner.

This office has no further comment in regard to the plan submitted for Zoning Advisory Committee review in connection with this Item 87 (1983-1984).

RAM: EAM: FWR: 58 N-NW Key Sheet 38 NE 10 Pos. Sheet NE 10 C Topo 70 Tax Map Attachment

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the Petition and it appearing that strict comparace with the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations would/would not result in practical difficulty and unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner(s) and the granting of the variance(s) requested will/will not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of the community, the variance(s) should /should not be granted.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this day of ______, 19____, that the herein Petition for Variance(s) to permit

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing on the Petition and it appearing that by reason of the requirements of Section 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this day of _____, 19___, that the herein Petition for Special Exception

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Arnold Jablon, Zoning Commissioner

Date____October 26, 1983

FROM Ian J. Forrest

Mr. Arnold Jablon Zoning Commissioner County Office Building

STEPHEN E. COLLINS DIRECTOR

Towson, Maryland 21204 Item No. 85,86 87 91

Location:

Existing Zoning.

Proposed Zoning:

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERS
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
494-3550

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

ZAC- Meeting of October 11, 1983. Property Owner:

November 14, 1983

District:

Dear Mr. Jablon:

The Department of Traffic Enigneering has no comments for item numbers 85,86,87, and 91.

> Michael S. Flanigan Traffic Engineering Assoc. II

MSF/ccm

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO Office of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT Zoning Variance Items Meeting - October 11, 1983

> The Baltimore County Department of Health has reviewed the following zoning items and does not anticipate any health hazards at this time regarding these items.

> > Item #85 - James A. & Mary J. Gaffney

Item #86 - Jerry & Vlasta Babicka

- Twenty-First Century Properties

Item #91 - Midland Farms, Inc.

BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

IJF/fth

June 7, 1982

Mr. William E. Hammond Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Item #234 (1981-1982) Property Owner: 21st Century Properties 860' E. from centerline of Gleneagles Ct. 565' S. from centerline of Cromwell Bridge Rd. Acres: 1,500 sq. ft. District: 9th

The following comments are furnished in regard to the plat submitted to this office for review by the Zoning Advisory Committee in connection with the subject item. General:

Baltimore County highway and utility improvements are not directly involved. This office has no further comment in regard to the plan submitted for Zoning

Advisory Committee review in connection with this Item 234 (1981-1982). Very truly yours,

> (SIGNED) RUDERI A MURTON ROBERT A. MORTON, P.E., Chief Bureau of Public Services

RAM: EAM: FWR: SE

N-NW Key Sheet 38 NR 9 Pos. Sheet NE 10 C Topo 70 Tax Map

A Control of the Control

BALTIMORE COUNTY
FIRE DEPARTMENT
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-2586

A CONTRACT OF THE STATE OF THE

PAUL H. REINCKE CHIEF

494-4500

November 29, 1983

Mr. William Hammond Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Attention: Nick Commodari, Chairman Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

RE: Property Owner: Twenty-First Century Properties

Location: SW/Cor. Loch Rave. Blvd. & I-695 (rear parking lot Luskins)

Zaning Agenda: Meeting of October 11, 1983 Item No.: 87

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

() 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or ____feet along an approved road, in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Works.

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.

() 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at

EXCEEDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department.

() 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.

(X) 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1976 Edition prior to occupancy.

() 6. Site plans are approved, as drawn.

() 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments, at this time.

REVIEWER: Can Group Holy 11-29-13 Noted and Lloge Milliganely
Planting Group Fire Prevention Bureau Special Inspection Division

Maryland Department of Transportation

Lewell K. Bridwell M. S. Caltrider

October 7, 1983

Mr. Arnold Jablon Zoning Commissioner County Office Bldg. Towson, Maryland 21204 Re: ZAC Meeting of 10-11-83 ITEM: #87 Property Owner: Twenty-First Century Properties Location: SW/Cor. Loch Raven Blvd., Route 542 and I-695 (rear parking lot Luskins) Existing Zoning: B.R. Proposed Zoning: Variance to permit a front yard setback of 22.5' in lieu of the required 25' and to permit sideyard set-backs of 26' and 13.3' in lieu of the required 30'. Special Exception for the installation of a wireless transmitting and receiving structure (a monopole antenna). Acres: 11,386 sq. ft.

On review of the site plan of September 27, 1983 and field inspection, the State Highway Administration finds the plan generally acceptable.

> Very truly yours, Charle C Charles Lee, Chief Bureau of Engineering

> > By: George Wittman

District: 9th

CL:GW:maw

cc: Mr. J. Ogle

Dear Mr. Jablon:

My telephone number is (301) 659-1350 Teletypewriter for Impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 Baltimore Metro — 565-0451 D.C. Metro — 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free P.O. Box 717 / 707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203 - 0717

BALTIMORE COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PERMITS & LICENSES
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204
494-3610

TED ZALESKI, JR. DIRECTOR

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Mr. Jablon:

Comments on Item # 87 Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting are as follows: Property Owner: Twenty-First Century Properties

SW/Cor. Loch Raven Blvd. & I-695 (rear parking lot Luskins) Existing Zoning: B.R.
Proposed Zoning: B.R. Variance to permit a front yard setback of 22.5' in lieu of the

required 25' and to permit sideyard setbacks of 26' and 13.3' in Acres: 11,386 sq. ft. lieu of the required 30'. Special Exception for the District: 9th. 9th.

structure (a mohopole The items checked below are applicable:

A. All structures shall conform to the Baltimore County Building Code 1981/Council Bill 4-82 State of Maryland Code for the Handicapped and Aged; and other applimiscellaneous

B. A building & other permits shall be required before beginning construction.

C. Residential: Three sets of construction drawings are required to file a permit application. Architect/Engineer seal is/is not required. Non-reproduced seals and signatures are required on Plans and Technical Data.

D. Commercial: Three sets of construction drawings with a Maryland Registered Architect or Engineer shall be required to file a permit application. E. An exterior wall erected within 6'0 for Commercial uses or 3'0 for One & Two Family use group of an adjacent lot line shall be of one hour fire resistive

G. A change of occupancy shall be applied for, along with an alteration permit application, and three required sets of drawings indicating how the structure will meet the Code requirements for the proposed change. Drawings may require

a professional seal. H. Before this office can comment on the above structure, please have the owner, thru the services of a Registered in Maryland Architect or Engineer certify to

I. Comments - Comply with Section 625.0. Section 111.0-111.7 A Structural Engineers Seal and Signature is required on the Plans and Engineering Data, and certification by the Engineer will be required on completion.

NOTE: These comments reflect only on the information provided by the drawings submitted to the office of Planning and Zoning and are not intended to be construed as the full extent of any permit. If desired, additional information may be obtained by visiting Room 122 (Plans Review) at 111 W. Chesapeake Ave.,

Charles E. Burnham, Chief

antenna).

construction, no openings permitted within 3'0 of lot lines. A firewall is required if construction is on the lot line, see Table 401, line 2, Section 1407 and Table 1402, also Section 503.2. P. Requested variance appears to conflict with the Baltimore County Building Code,

this office, that, the structure for which a proposed change in use is proposed can comply with the height/area requirements of Table 505 and the required construction classification of Table 401.

Sharlo E. Sumban

BALTIMORE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Robert Y. Dubel, Superintendent

Towson, Maryland - 21204

Date: October 12, 1983

Mr. William E. Hammond Zoning Commissioner Baltimore County Office Building 1111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Z.A.C. Meeting of: October 11, 1983

RE: Item No: 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91 Property Owner: Location: Present Zoning: Proposed Zoning:

> District: No. Acres:

Dear Mr. Hammond:

The above mentioned item numbers have no adverse effect on student population.

> Very truly yours, Wm. Nick Petrovich, Assistant Department of Planning

WNP/bp

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that a practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship would result if the instant variances were not granted. It has been established that the requirement the Petitioner seeks relief from here would unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the variances requested will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, and general welfare.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of property, and public hearing held, and it appearing that by reason of the requirements of Section 502.1 having been met and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community not being adversely affected, the special exception and variances should be granted.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 24- day of January, 1984, that the Petition for Special Exception for a wireless transmitting and receiving structure (a monopole antenna) and, additionally, the Petition for Variances to permit side yard setbacks of 25 and 13 feet instead of the required 30 feet, in accordance with the site plan introduced and accepted into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and are hereby GRANTED, from and after the date of this Order, subject, however, to the following restrictions:

> The Petitioner may apply for its building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at its own risk until such time as the applicable appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Zoning Office and shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, a fence at least seven feet in height surrounding the structure and appropriate shrubbery or

IN RE: PETITIONS SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND ZONING VARIANCES

Beginning 781' S of Cromwell * Bridge Road, 860' NE of Gleneagles Court - 9th Election *

Case No. 84-177-XA Twenty-First Century Properties,

> Petitioner # # # # # # # # FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

BEFORE THE

ZONING COMMISSIONER

OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

The Petitioner, by its Lessee, Be.. Atlantic Mobil Systems, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Bell Atlantic, requests a special exception to construct a wireless transmitting and receiving structure (a monopole antenna) and, additionally, variances to permit side yard setbacks of 25 feet and 13 feet instead of the required 30 feet, as more fully described on Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

The Lessee appeared and was represented by Counsel. Testifying for the Lessee was Harry Fisher, general manager, system designer, and real estate manager for the Lessee. No Protestants appeared.

The subject property is zoned B.R. Testimony indicated that the Lessee intends to construct a 100-foot-high monopole structure with 17-foot antennae for the purpose of establishing a cellular mobil telephone system in the Baltimore The Lessee has been authorized by the FCC to construct the system and has Eserved specific frequencies which will not obstruct or interfere with any other requencies, e.g., television or radio communications. In addition to the a 22' x 26' accessory building would be constructed to house the various equipment necessary to operate the tower.

Eter investigating numerous other sites, the Lessee chose this site beshape and, in fact, could be utilized for little else due to its shape and size.

The tower and accessory building will be well maintained and constantly supervised by the Lessee's central office. See Petitioner's Exhibit 2.

Due to the configuration of the lot, variances are also necessary to permit a side yard setback from the tower to the northern property line of 13 feet instead of the required 30 feet and a side yard setback of 25 feet from the edge of the proposed building to the nearest property line to the south (a perpendicular line drawn from the building to the property line identified on Petitioner's Exhibit 1 as "N 26 54' 00" W").

Mr. Fisher testified that in his opinion no adverse effect to the surrounding community would occur if the special exception and variances were granted and that the spirit and intent of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (BCZR) would be met. Certainly, it would be a practical difficulty to the Lessee if they were not granted. It should be noted that the building size is based on the amount and size of equipment necessary to be housed therein and could not possibly be smaller.

The Petitioner seeks relief from Section 236.4, pursuant to Section 502.1, BCZR, for a special exception to construct a wireless transmitting and receiving structure and from Section 238.2, pursuant to Section 307, BCZR, for the requested variances.

It is clear that the BCZR permit the use requested by the Petitioner in a B.R. Zone by special exception. It is equally clear that the proposed use would be detrimental to the primary uses in the vicinity of the proposed use. Therefore, it must be determined whether the conditions as delineated by Section 502. are satisfied by the Petitioner.

 $\{A_{\overline{A}}\}$ ter reviewing all of the testimony and evidence presented, it appears that the special exception as applied for by the Petitioner should be granted, with certain restrictions as more fully described below.

The Petitioner had the burden of adducing testimony and evidence which show that the proposed use met the prescribed standards and requirements

- 2 -

set forth in Section 502.1. In fact, the Petitioner has shown that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and would not actually adversely affect the public interest. The facts and circumstances of the use proposed by the Petitioner does not show that the proposed use at the particular location described by Petitioner's Exhibit 1 would have any adverse effect above and beyond those inherently associated with such a special except-

A.2d 1319 (1981). The proposed use will not be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality, nor tend to create congestion in roads, streets, or alleys therein, nor be inconsistent with the purposes of the property's zoning classification, nor in any other way inconsistent with the spirit and intent of

ion use irrespective of its location within the zone. Schultz v. Pritts, 432

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning regulation to the petitioner and his property would cause practical difficulty. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:

> whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;

whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief; and

whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974). It is clear from the testimony that if the variances were granted, such use

as proposed would not be contrary to the spirit of the Regulations and would not result in substantial detriment to the public good.

Description of 11,386 Square Foot Parcel of Property owned by Twenty-First Century Properties, leased to AMPS, Inc., for Installation of a Monopole Antenna. (Site @).

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME at a point being located northeasterly along the centerline of Cromwell Bridge Road 860 feet from the centerline of Gleneagles Court, thence leaving Cromwell Bridge Road South 24° East 417 feet more or less; thence South 74°09'30" East 364.33 feet more or less to a point marked by an iron pin now set, thence running from said point the following three courses and distances, thereby encompassing the area which is the subject matter of these petitions for special exception and variance:

- (1) South 74°09'30" East 271.22 feet; thence
- (2) South 82°23'58" West 211.05 feet; thence
- (3) North 26°54'00" West 114.32 feet to the aforesaid iron pin set.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCES

9th Election District

ZONING: Petition for Special Exception and Variances LOCATION:

Beginning 781 ft. South of Cromwell Bridge Road, 860 ft. Northeast of Gleneagles Court

DATE & TIME: Monday, January 16, 1984 at 10:45 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING: Room 106, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake

Avenue, Towson, Maryland The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing:

Petition for Special Exception for the installation of a wireless transmitting and receiving structure (a monopole antenna) and Variances to allow side yard setbacks of 25 ft. and 13 ft. in lieu of the required 30 ft.

Being the property of Twenty-First Century Properties, as shown on plat plan filed with the Zoning Department.

In the event that these Petitions are granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be received in writing by the date of thehearing set above or made at the hearing.

> BY ORDER OF ARNOLD JABLON ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

BALTIMORE COUNTY
OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

ARNOLD JABLON ZONING COMMISSIONER

January 24, 1984

Keith E. Ronald, Esquire 409 Washington Avenue, Suite 314 Towson, Maryland 21204

> IN RE: Petitions Special Exception and Zoning Variances Beginning 781' S of Cromwell Bridge Road, 860' NE of Gleneagles Court - 9th Election District Twenty-First Century Properties, Petitioner

> > Case No. 84-177-XA

Dear Mr. Ronald:

I have this date passed my Order in the above-referenced matter in accordance with the attached.

AJ/srl

Attachments

cc: People's Counsel





